Neuroepidemiology

## **Original Paper**

Neuroepidemiology 2021;55:206–215 DOI: 10.1159/000515239 Received: December 7, 2020 Accepted: February 11, 2021 Published online: May 5, 2021

# Renal Impairment and Risk of Acute Stroke: The INTERSTROKE Study

Andrew Smyth<sup>a, b, c</sup> Conor Judge<sup>a, b, c</sup> Xingu Wang<sup>d</sup> Guillaume Pare<sup>a</sup> Sumathy Rangarajan<sup>a</sup> Michelle Canavan<sup>b</sup> Siu Lim Chin<sup>a</sup> Fawaz Al-Hussain<sup>e</sup> Afzalhussein M. Yusufali<sup>f</sup> Ahmed Elsayed<sup>g</sup> Albertino Damasceno<sup>h</sup> Alvaro Avezum<sup>i</sup> Anna Czlonkowska<sup>j</sup> Annika Rosengren<sup>k</sup> Antonio L. Dans<sup>1</sup> Aytekin Oguz<sup>m</sup> Charles Mondo<sup>n</sup> Christian Weimar<sup>o</sup> Danuta Ryglewicz<sup>p</sup> Denis Xavier<sup>q</sup> Fernando Lanas<sup>r</sup> German Malaga<sup>s</sup> Graeme J. Hankey<sup>t</sup> Helle K. Iversen<sup>u</sup> Hongye Zhang<sup>d</sup> Khalid Yusoff<sup>v</sup> Nana Pogosova<sup>w</sup> Patricio Lopez-Jamarillo<sup>x</sup> Peter Langhorne<sup>y</sup> Rafael Diaz<sup>z</sup> Shahram Oveisgharan<sup>A</sup> Salim Yusuf<sup>a</sup> Martin O'Donnell<sup>a, b</sup> on behalf of the INTERSTROKE investigators

<sup>a</sup>Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, ON, Canada; <sup>b</sup> HRB Clinical Research Facility Galway, School of Medicine, NUI Galway, Galway, Ireland; <sup>c</sup>Department of Nephrology, Galway University Hospitals, Galway, Ireland; <sup>d</sup>Beijing Hypertension League Institute, Beijing, China; <sup>e</sup>King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; <sup>f</sup>Dubai Health Authority, Dubai Medical College, Dubai, United Arab Emirates; <sup>9</sup>Alzaeim Alazhari University, Khartoum North, Sudan; <sup>h</sup>Eduardo Mondlane University, Maputo, Mozambique; <sup>i</sup>Hospital Alemao Oswaldo Cruz, Sao Paulo, Brazil; <sup>j</sup>Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>k</sup>Sahlgrenska University Hospital and Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>I</sup>College of Medicine, University of Philippines, Manila, Philippines; <sup>m</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey; <sup>n</sup>Uganda Heart Institute, Mulago Hospital, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>o</sup>Department of Neurology, University Hospital, Essen, Germany; <sup>p</sup>Military Institute of Aviation Medicine, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>q</sup>St John's Medical College and Research Institute, Bangalore, India; <sup>r</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; <sup>s</sup>Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru; <sup>t</sup>School of Medicine and Pharmacology, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia; "Stroke Center, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>v</sup>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Selayang, Selangor, Malaysia, UCSI University, Cheras, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; "National Medical Research Center of Cardiology, Moscow, Russia; <sup>x</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones FOSCAL, Escuela de Medicina, Universidad de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia; <sup>y</sup>Academic Section of Geriatric Medicine, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK; <sup>z</sup>Estudios Clinicos Latinoamerica, Rosario, Argentina; <sup>A</sup>Rush Alzheimer Disease Research Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA

**Keywords** Kidney disease · Stroke

## Abstract

**Background:** Previous studies reported an association of renal impairment with stroke, but there are uncertainties underpinning this association. *Aims:* We explored if the association is explained by shared risk factors or is independent and whether there are regional or stroke subtype variations. *Methods:* INTERSTROKE is a case-control study and the largest international study of risk factors for first acute stroke, completed in 27 countries. We included individuals with available serum creatinine values and calculated estimated

karger@karger.com www.karger.com/ned © 2021 S. Karger AG, Basel

Karger

Correspondence to: Andrew Smyth, andrew.smyth@nuigalway.ie sgow Univ.Lib. \209.6.61 - 8/19/2021 1:43:18 AM glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Renal impairment was defined as eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Multivariable conditional logistic regression was used to determine the association of renal function with stroke. Results: Of 21,127 participants, 41.0% were female, the mean age was  $62.3 \pm 13.4$  years, and the mean eGFR was 79.9  $\pm$  23.5 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. The prevalence of renal impairment was higher in cases (22.9% vs. 17.7%, p < 0.001) and differed by region (p < 0.001). After adjustment, lower eGFR was associated with increased odds of stroke. Renal impairment was associated with increased odds of all stroke (OR 1.35; 95% CI: 1.24-1.47), with higher odds for intracerebral hemorrhage (OR 1.60; 95% CI: 1.35-1.89) than ischemic stroke (OR 1.29; 95% CI: 1.17-1.42) (p<sub>interaction</sub> 0.12). The largest magnitudes of association were seen in younger participants and those living in Africa, South Asia, or South America (*p*<sub>interaction</sub> < 0.001 for all stroke). Renal impairment was also associated with poorer clinical outcome (RRR 2.97; 95% CI: 2.50–3.54 for death within 1 month). Conclusion: Renal impairment is an important risk factor for stroke, particularly in younger patients, and is associated with more severe stroke and worse outcomes.

© 2021 S. Karger AG, Basel

#### Introduction

Stroke is a leading global cause of death and disability [1, 2], and chronic kidney disease (CKD) is the third fastest growing cause of premature mortality [3]. The IN-TERSTROKE study reported that ten modifiable risk factors are associated with approximately 90% of the population attributable risk of stroke, with important regional variations, but laboratory measures of renal function were not included [4]. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is more common with established renal impairment, which itself is an independent risk factor for recurrent CVD and death [5]. In addition, those with CKD are more likely to die from CVD rather than progress to advanced CKD, where renal replacement therapy is required [6].

Stroke and renal impairment share many risk factors (particularly hypertension and diabetes [7]), and key shared risk factors may become amplified in CKD, particularly hypertension. Cardiovascular prevention guide-lines recommend screening for CKD in those with atherosclerosis or established CVD [8]. CKD or renal impairment is also a risk factor for stroke, based on systematic reviews and meta-analyses, with increasing risk of stroke as renal function decreases [9–11]. However, these meta-analyses reported moderate-high heterogeneity and the majority of studies were completed in Japan, Europe, and

the USA. In addition, it remains unclear if the association is driven by shared risk factors or independent and if there are important regional variations.

#### Aims

The INTERSTROKE study is ideally placed to explore the global association between renal impairment and stroke to guide stroke prevention strategies. The INTER-STROKE study also allows exploration for regional variations in the prevalence and importance of renal impairment as a risk factor for stroke.

#### Methods

The design and methodology of the INTERSTROKE study have been previously described in detail [4, 12]. In brief, cases of acute first stroke were recruited (within 5 days of symptom onset and 72 h of hospital admission) from 142 centers in 32 countries. Neuroimaging was performed within 1 week of presentation in 99.9% of participants. Community- or hospital-based controls (without acute stroke) were matched for sex and age ( $\pm$ 5 years); matching for age was extended ( $\pm$ 10 years) for participants aged >90 years. The study was approved by local ethics committees at all recruitment sites, and all participants (or their proxies) provided written informed consent.

Structured questionnaires and physical examinations were completed in a standardized fashion. Diabetes was defined by selfreport of a history of diabetes or HbA1c ≥6.5%. Hypertension was defined by self-report of a history of hypertension or elevated blood pressure (BP) or a measured BP  $\geq$ 140/90 mm Hg. Physical activity was dichotomized into inactive or mainly active (≥4-h regular moderate/strenuous leisure activity per week). Waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was categorized into gender-specific tertiles. Diet quality was measured using the modified Alternative Healthy Eating Index (mAHEI) [13, 14]. Smoking was dichotomized as never/ former versus current. CVD was defined by a medical history of angina, myocardial infarction, transient ischemic attack, or peripheral arterial disease. All data were transferred to the Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, for quality-control checks and statistical analysis. Within 72 h of recruitment, nonfasting blood samples were taken, centrifuged, aliquoted, and frozen to -20 or -70°C before being couriered (nitrogen vapor tanks) to blood storage sites (Canada, India, Turkey, and China) for storage at -160°C. Serum creatinine was measured (in 78.4% [n = 21, 127] of INTERSTROKE participants) using the modified Jaffé method on the Beckman Coulter UniCel DxC 600 Synchron Clinical System, where change in absorbance level of a creatininepicrate complex is proportional to the concentration of creatinine in the serum sample. The Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula was used to estimate glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) with values truncated at 15 and 90 mL/ min/1.73 m [15]. Renal impairment was defined as CKD-EPI eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, consistent with the classification of

Neuroepidemiology 2021;55:206–215 DOI: 10.1159/000515239



Fig. 1. Prevalence of renal impairment in cases and in controls by age and gender (a), and geographical region (b).

CKD [16]. In cases, there was no difference in age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of renal impairment when stratified by time between symptom onset and blood draw (<24 h, 23.9%; 24–48 h, 22.7%; 48–72 h, 23.1%; 72–96 h, 23.0%; and >96 h, 23.6%, *p*<sub>trend</sub> 0.87).

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the frequency, proportion, mean, or median compared with *t* tests,  $\chi^2$  test, or appropriate nonparametric tests (e.g., Kruskal-Wallis). The prevalence of renal impairment is reported by age group ( $\leq$ 45, 46–55, 56–65, 66–70, and >70 years) and gender, with age- and sex-adjusted prevalence by geographical region (Western Europe and North America, Eastern and Central Europe and Middle East, Africa, South Asia, China, South East Asia, and South America).

Restricted cubic splines (three knots for eGFR) and a Waldtype test for nonlinearity beyond the first knot were used to explore and test for non-linear associations between eGFR and stroke [17] based on multivariable-adjusted conditional logistic regression with prespecified covariates [18, 19] of age, adjusted systolic BP, adjusted diastolic BP, diabetes, physical activity, WHR, diet, and smoking. BP in cases was adjusted for changes in BP related to acute stroke, as described previously [4].

Multivariable-adjusted conditional logistic regression was also used to explore the association between stroke and renal impairment or levels of renal function (eGFR >90, 60–90, 30–60, and <30), reporting odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), built in a stepwise fashion; (i) unadjusted; (ii) adding age; (iii) adding lifestyle factors (physical activity, WHR, mAHEI score, and smoking); (iv) adding adjusted BP; and (v) adding diabetes. Models are presented for all stroke, ischemic stroke, and intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). Ischemic stroke was also stratified by subtype (cardioembolic, large vessel, small vessel, or other [20]). Unconditional adjusted logistic regression models were used for pre-specified subgroup analyses, with additional adjustment for sex and country, with stratification by gender, age, education, hypertension, diabetes, aspirin use, ACE/ARB use, diuretic use, other BP medication, lipid-lowering therapy, and geographical region. *p* for interaction was considered statistically significant when <0.01. Adjusted multinomial logistic regression models were used to explore the associations between renal impairment and stroke severity (at presentation and 1 month poststroke) reporting relative risk ratios (95% CI) using controls as the reference category.

#### Results

Of the 21,127 participants included, 41.0% (n = 8,664) were female, the mean age was  $62.3 \pm 13.4$  years, and the mean CKD-EPI eGFR was  $79.9 \pm 23.5$  mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Excluded participants (i.e., those without available serum

Table 1. Characteristics of renal impairment - overall, cases, and controls

|  | Cases                       |                                |                | Controls                             |                                |                |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
|  | no impairment $(n = 8,133)$ | renal impairment $(n = 2,477)$ | <i>p</i> value | no impairment<br>( <i>n</i> = 8,698) | renal impairment $(n = 1,819)$ | <i>p</i> value |
| Age, mean (SD)                                     | 60.9 (13.3)                 | 68.9 (12.5)                    | < 0.001        | 60.1 (13.0)                          | 70.7 (10.9)                    | < 0.001        |
| Gender, % ( <i>n</i> )                             |                             |                                |                |                                      |                                |                |
| Male   | 61.6 (5,009)                | 51.4 (1,273)                   | 0.001          | 60.6 (5,274)                         | 49.9 (907)                     | 0.001          |
| Female   | 38.4 (3,124)                | 48.6 (1,204)                   | < 0.001        | 39.4 (3,424)                         | 50.1 (912)                     | <0.001         |
| Physical activity, % ( <i>n</i> )                  |                             |                                |                |                                      |                                |                |
| Mainly inactive                                    | 88.2 (7,166)                | 93.5 (2,314)                   | .0.001         | 81.2 (7,062)                         | 89.7 (1,631)                   | .0.001         |
| Mainly active                                      | 11.8 (959)                  | 6.5 (162)                      | < 0.001        | 18.8 (1,631)                         | 10.3 (187)                     | <0.001         |
| Education, % ( <i>n</i> )                          |                             |                                |                |                                      |                                |                |
| None   | 11.3 (917)                  | 20.1 (497)                     |                | 7.7 (673)                            | 15.5 (281)                     |                |
| 1–12 years   | 66.7 (5,428)                | 65.9 (1,634)                   | < 0.001        | 57.3 (4,981)                         | 63.0 (1,145)                   | < 0.001        |
| Trade school/university                            | 22.0 (1,786)                | 14.0 (346)                     |                | 35.0 (3,043)                         | 21.5 (391)                     |                |
| Hypertension, % ( <i>n</i> )                       | 56.5 (4,592)                | 68.7 (1,702)                   | < 0.001        | 34.5 (3,000)                         | 51.4 (934)                     | < 0.001        |
| Diabetes, % (n)                                    | 16.8 (1,365)                | 20.0 (496)                     | < 0.001        | 11.6 (1,011)                         | 19.6 (357)                     | < 0.001        |
| Prior cardiovascular disease, $\%$ ( <i>n</i> )    | 11.0 (898)                  | 13.3 (330)                     | 0.002          | 5.6 (486)                            | 10.4 (189)                     | < 0.001        |
| Antiplatelet use, % ( <i>n</i> )                   | 17.5 (1,422)                | 19.6 (486)                     | 0.015          | 14.5 (1,263)                         | 19.6 (356)                     | < 0.001        |
| Oral anticoagulant use, $\%$ ( <i>n</i> )          | 2.1 (173)                   | 2.5 (62)                       | 0.266          | 1.0 (85)                             | 2.6 (48)                       | < 0.001        |
| ACEi or ARB use, % ( <i>n</i> )                    | 21.2 (1,722)                | 27.1 (670)                     | < 0.001        | 17.3 (1,502)                         | 26.1 (475)                     | < 0.001        |
| Diuretic use, $\%$ ( <i>n</i> )                    | 10.9 (888)                  | 16.9 (418)                     | < 0.001        | 7.4 (645)                            | 16.1 (292)                     | < 0.001        |
| Other antihypertensive use, % ( <i>n</i> )         | 25.3 (2,054)                | 34.1 (844)                     | < 0.001        | 18.7 (1,629)                         | 29.1 (530)                     | < 0.001        |
| Analgesic or anti-inflammatory use, % ( <i>n</i> ) | 7.0 (570)                   | 6.7 (167)                      | 0.638          | 7.0 (605)                            | 6.3 (115)                      | 0.322          |
| Adjusted SBP on admission, mm Hg, mean (SD)        | 136.2 (24.4)                | 141.5 (26.6)                   | < 0.001        | 132.1 (18.2)                         | 135.8 (20.0)                   | < 0.001        |
| Adjusted DBP on admission, mm Hg, mean (SD)        | 79.1 (14.1)                 | 79.9 (15.4)                    | < 0.001        | 80.0 (10.6)                          | 79.9 (11.2)                    | 0.002          |
| Waist-to-hip ratio, mean (SD)                      | 0.93 (0.08)                 | 0.93 (0.08)                    | 0.20           | 0.92 (0.08)                          | 0.92 (0.08)                    | 0.483          |
| mAHEI score, mean (SD)                             | 22.8 (6.4)                  | 22.3 (6.1)                     | 0.002          | 24.3 (6.8)                           | 22.9 (6.3)                     | < 0.001        |
| HbA1c, mean (SD)                                   | 0.0605 (0.013)              | 0.0617 (0.014)                 | 0.004          | 0.058 (0.01)                         | 0.060 (0.011)                  | 0.281          |
| eGFR, mean (SD)                                    | 88.6 (17.1)                 | 44.7 (13.1)                    | < 0.001        | 88.6 (16.7)                          | 47.7 (11.0)                    | < 0.001        |

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; mAHEI, modified Alternative Healthy Eating Index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

creatinine) were more likely to be younger, from South Asia, and with lower levels of education, but were less likely to have hypertension, CVD, or be taking antihypertensive medications (see online suppl. Table 1; see www. karger.com/doi/10.1159/000515239 for all online suppl. material).

Overall, the prevalence of renal impairment was 20.3% (n = 4,296), with a higher prevalence in cases (22.9%, n = 2,476) than controls (17.7%, n = 1,823) (p < 0.001), consistent on stratification by gender and age (Fig. 1a). The age- and sex-adjusted prevalence was highest in South Asia and China and lowest in Western Europe and North America, with higher prevalence in cases than controls in all regions (Fig. 1b). Participants with renal impairment were more likely to have hypertension, diabetes, and CVD and to use antiplatelet or antihypertensive medications (Table 1). Predictors of renal impairment included age, gender, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes,

medication use, and diet quality, with regional differences (online suppl. Table 2).

Cubic splines showed that lower eGFR was associated with a curvilinear increase in odds of all stroke, ischemic stroke, and ICH (Fig. 2a), which remained significant after multivariable adjustment (Fig. 2b). On multivariable analyses, renal impairment was associated with increased odds of all stroke (OR 1.35; 95% CI: 1.24–1.47), ischemic stroke (OR 1.29; 95% CI: 1.17–1.42), and ICH (OR 1.60; 95% CI: 1.35–1.89) (Table 2). Estimates from conditional and unconditional analyses using model 5 did not alter findings. Patterns of association were consistent within ischemic stroke subtypes (Table 2).

For all stroke, the largest magnitudes of association were seen in younger participants, without diabetes, not using diuretics, and living in Africa, South Asia, or South America (Table 3). For ischemic stroke, the largest magnitudes of association were seen in younger participants,

9/2021 1:43:18 AN



**Fig. 2.** Association between eGFR and stroke using unadjusted models (**a**) and fully adjusted models (**b**) including age, sex, physical activity, WHR, mAHEI, smoking, systolic BP, diastolic BP and diabetes. CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; mAHEI, modified Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BP, blood pressure.

without diabetes and in those not using diuretics. For ICH, the largest magnitudes of association were seen in male, younger participants, with highest level of education and living in South Asia, South America, Africa, and South East Asia. There were no other significant differences by subgroup.

With participants stratified by categories of eGFR, consistent associations were seen on both unadjusted and adjusted analyses for all stroke, ischemic stroke, and ICH.

Compared to eGFR>90, there was a dose response with the greatest magnitudes of association with the lowest level of eGFR (<30) for all stroke (OR 2.28; 95% CI: 1.80–2.89), ischemic stroke (OR 1.81; 95% CI: 1.37–2.40), and ICH (OR 4.12; 95% CI: 2.59–6.55) (Table 4).

The age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of renal impairment was greatest with higher modified Rankin score on presentation with all stroke, ischemic stroke, and ICH (online suppl. Fig. 1). At presentation, the greatest mag-

| Table 2. Association between 1 | renal impairment and stroke |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|

|                           | All stroke<br>odds ratio (95% CI) | Ischemic stroke<br>odds ratio (95% CI) | ICH<br>odds ratio (95% CI) | Pinteraction |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------|
| Model 1                   | 1.52 (1.41–1.64)                  | 1.49 (1.37–1.63)                       | 1.62 (1.39–1.90)           | 0.37         |
| Model 2                   | 1.45 (1.35–1.57)                  | 1.41 (1.29–1.54)                       | 1.60 (1.37–1.88)           | 0.16         |
| Model 3                   | 1.41 (1.30–1.52)                  | 1.36 (1.23–1.49)                       | 1.57 (1.33–1.84)           | 0.12         |
| Model 4                   | 1.38 (1.26-1.50)                  | 1.33 (1.21–1.47)                       | 1.58 (1.34–1.88)           | 0.17         |
| Model 5                   | 1.35 (1.24-1.47)                  | 1.29 (1.17-1.42)                       | 1.60 (1.35-1.89)           | 0.12         |
| Ischemic subtypes *       |                                   |  |                            |              |
| Cardioembolic stroke      | -                                 | 1.53 (1.17-2.02)                       | -                          | -            |
| Large vessel stroke       | -                                 | 1.31 (1.04–1.65)                       | -                          | _            |
| Small vessel stroke       | -                                 | 1.29 (1.10-1.52)                       | -                          | -            |
| Other TOAST stroke        | _                                 | 1.50 (1.05-2.13)                       | -                          | _            |
| Undetermined TOAST stroke | -                                 | 1.06 (0.85–1.31)                       | -                          | -            |

Conditional logistic regression: model 1: unadjusted; model 2: adding age; model 3: adding physical activity, waist-to-hip ratio, mAHEI score, and smoking; model 4: adding systolic and diastolic BP; model 5: adding diabetes. ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; mAHEI, modified Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BP, blood pressure. \* Using model 5.

nitudes of association were seen between renal impairment and severe or very severe disability (online suppl. Table 1). At 1 month poststroke, the greatest magnitudes of association were seen between renal impairment and death for all stroke (RRR 2.97; 95% CI: 2.50–3.54), ischemic stroke (RRR 2.27; 95% CI: 1.78–2.90), and ICH (RRR 3.63; 95% CI: 2.73–4.83). Thrombolysis was not an effect modifier of the association between renal impairment and stroke outcome (*p*<sub>interaction</sub> 0.36).

#### Discussion

In this large international study, we found a curvilinear monotonic association of reducing eGFR with increased risk of stroke, both for ischemic stroke and ICH. The magnitude of association was larger for ICH, in younger participants and in those living in Africa, South America, and South Asia. By including representation from regions poorly represented previously, we were able to extend previous knowledge to highlight regional differences. Renal impairment was associated with more severe stroke and higher 1-month mortality, even after adjusting for baseline stroke severity.

Our analyses are consistent with previous reports that renal impairment is independently associated with an increased risk of stroke [9], but provides novel information on the association by stroke subtype, demonstrating higher odds for ICH than ischemic stroke and a consistent pattern of association by ischemic stroke subtypes. We did suspect a stronger magnitude of association of renal impairment with stroke subtypes known to have the strongest association with hypertension, which was the case for ICH, but an expected stronger association for small vessel ischemic stroke was not evident in our analyses. This difference may have been observed as renal impairment is closely associated with hypertension, which is a direct risk factor for ICH, but hypertension indirectly impacts small vessel disease through contributions to atherosclerosis. Another contrasting feature by primary stroke subtype was a lower attenuation in OR with the sequential addition of other vascular risk factors (e.g., hypertension and diabetes) for ICH (OR: 1.62 on univariable and 1.60 on full multivariable) than ischemic stroke (OR: 1.49 on univariable and 1.29 on full multivariable). These findings support the contention that renal impairment is more likely to play a causal role in risk of ICH than ischemic, or more linked in mechanism of disease.

The kidney and brain microvascular beds are similarly composed of small, short vessels that arise from large arteries with high pressure ("strain vessels") and with low arterial resistance, which are vulnerable to a number of shared risk factors. Both beds have continuous blood flow maintained by using myogenic regulatory systems, which protects these beds from large changes in blood flow (autoregulation) [21–23]. Failure of these systems may lead to microvessel damage [24] and clinical consequences such as renal impairment or stroke. The complications of renal impairment (anemia, acidosis, uremia, altered calcium-phosphate metabolism, and platelet dysfunction [25]) may lead

3/2021 1:43:18 AN

|  | All stroke          |              | Ischemic stroke     |              | ICH                 |              |  |
|--|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
|  | odds ratio (95% CI) | Pinteraction | odds ratio (95% CI) | Pinteraction | odds ratio (95% CI) | Pinteraction |  |
| Gender                                 |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| Male                                   | 1.36 (1.23-1.51)    |              | 1.24 (1.10-1.40)    |              | 1.79 (1.44-2.23)    |              |  |
| Female                                 | 1.31 (1.17–1.46)    | 0.28         | 1.31 (1.15–1.48)    | 0.96         | 1.20 (0.95–1.51)    | 0.006        |  |
| Age                                    |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| ≤45 years                              | 3.36 (2.20-5.11)    |              | 2.28 (1.32-3.94)    |              | 6.74 (3.21-14.18)   |              |  |
| 46–55 years                            | 2.02 (1.59-2.55)    |              | 1.63 (1.20-2.21)    |              | 2.69 (1.80-4.01)    |              |  |
| 56–65 years                            | 1.55 (1.34-1.81)    | < 0.001      | 1.49 (1.25-1.79)    | 0.003        | 1.68 (1.24-2.27)    | < 0.001      |  |
| 66–70 years                            | 1.20 (0.98-1.46)    |              | 1.20 (0.95-1.51)    |              | 1.24 (0.78-1.96)    |              |  |
| >70 years                              | 1.04 (0.93-1.16)    |              | 1.07 (0.95-1.21)    |              | 0.83 (0.62-1.11)    |              |  |
| Education                              |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| None                                   | 1.21 (1.00-1.47)    |              | 1.07 (0.86-1.34)    |              | 1.61 (1.03-2.49)    |              |  |
| 1–12 years                             | 1.34 (1.22-1.47)    | 0.47         | 1.34 (1.20-1.50)    | 0.68         | 1.30 (1.07-1.58)    | 0.007        |  |
| Trade school / university              | 1.32 (1.10-1.57)    |              | 1.11 (0.91-1.36)    |              | 2.63 (1.72-4.01)    |              |  |
| Hypertension                           |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.24 (1.07-1.44)    | 0.12         | 1.24 (1.05-1.47)    | 0.14         | 1.20 (0.85-1.69)    | 0.65         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.29 (1.18–1.41)    | 0.15         | 1.20 (1.08-1.34)    | 0.14         | 1.54 (1.26–1.87)    | 0.65         |  |
| Diabetes                               |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.40 (1.28–1.53)    | 0.002        | 1.35 (1.22–1.50)    | 0.001        | 1.49 (1.24–1.77)    | 0.64         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.20 (1.04–1.39)    | 0.003        | 1.10 (0.94–1.29)    | 0.001        | 1.56 (1.07–2.27)    | 0.04         |  |
| Aspirin                                |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.34 (1.24–1.46)    | 0.06         | 1.28 (1.16–1.41)    | 0.12         | 1.48 (1.26–1.75)    | 0.36         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.26 (1.06–1.51)    | 0.00         | 1.20 (0.99–1.46)    | 0.15         | 1.62 (0.90-2.90)    | 0.50         |  |
| ACEi/ARB                               |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.34 (1.22–1.46)    | 0.03         | 1.26 (1.13–1.39)    | 0.10         | 1.50 (1.26–1.78)    | 0.24         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.31 (1.13–1.52)    | 0.05         | 1.28 (1.08–1.51)    | 0.10         | 1.45 (0.97–2.17)    | 0.24         |  |
| Diuretics                              |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.38 (1.27–1.49)    | < 0.001      | 1.31 (1.19–1.44)    | 0.003        | 1.50 (1.27–1.77)    | 0.39         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.12 (0.92–1.38)    | (0.001       | 1.05 (0.84–1.31)    | 0.000        | 1.40 (0.74–2.65)    | 0.39         |  |
| Other BP medications                   |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.32 (1.20–1.44)    | 0.09         | 1.28 (1.15–1.42)    | 0.03         | 1.37 (1.14–1.64)    | 0.34         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.33 (1.16–1.53)    | 0.09         | 1.20 (1.03–1.41)    | 0.05         | 2.02 (1.43-2.86)    | 0.01         |  |
| Lipid-lowering therapy                 |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| No                                     | 1.34 (1.24–1.45)    | 0.32         | 1.26 (1.15–1.39)    | 0.58         | 1.53 (1.30–1.81)    | 0.30         |  |
| Yes                                    | 1.27 (1.04–1.56)    | 0.02         | 1.27 (1.02–1.58)    | 0.00         | 0.90 (0.39–2.08)    | 0.50         |  |
| Geographical region                    |                     |              |                     |              |                     |              |  |
| Western Europe + North America         | 1.36 (1.09–1.69)    |              | 1.39 (1.10–1.75)    |              | 0.81 (0.30-2.21)    |              |  |
| Eastern + Central Europe + Middle East | 1.10 (0.86–1.40)    |              | 1.09 (0.84–1.41)    |              | 1.40 (0.51–3.84)    |              |  |
| Africa                                 | 2.32 (1.72–3.12)    |              | 2.30 (1.56-3.40)    |              | 2.21 (1.33–3.69)    |              |  |
| South Asia                             | 1.86 (1.40–2.45)    | < 0.001      | 1.42 (1.01–2.00)    | 0.12         | 3.91 (1.94–7.80)    | < 0.001      |  |
| China                                  | 1.15 (1.03–1.29)    |              | 1.20 (1.05–1.38)    |              | 1.02 (0.82–1.27)    |              |  |
| South East Asia                        | 1.33 (1.01–1.75)    |              | 1.09 (0.77–1.53)    |              | 1.87 (1.14–3.06)    |              |  |
| South America                          | 1.49 (1.22–1.82)    |              | 1.19 (0.94–1.51)    |              | 3.08 (1.96-4.85)    |              |  |

Unconditional logistic regression including adjustment for age, sex, systolic BP, diastolic BP, diabetes, physical activity, waist-to-hip ratio, mAHEI score, smoking, and country. ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; mAHEI, modified Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BP, blood pressure.

to vascular calcification, endothelial dysfunction [26], and decreased cerebral perfusion, promoting tissue hypoxia [27] and worsening stroke outcome [28]. Renal impairment is also associated with worsening hypertension (which is part of the causal pathway for CVD), platelet dysfunction, and prolonged bleeding time [29], which may explain the increased risk of ICH. These postulated mechanisms are consistent with our findings which confirm previous reports that the magnitude of association was largest between renal impairment and fatal stroke [9, 30].

Table 4. Graded association between categories of CKD-EPI eGFR and stroke

|                     | Prevalence,<br>% ( <i>n</i> ) | Model 1 – unadjusted,<br>OR (95% CI) | Model 2 – age,<br>OR (95% CI) | Model 3 – lifestyle,<br>OR (95% CI) | Model 4 – BP,<br>OR (95% CI) | Model 5 – diabetes,<br>OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| All stroke          |                               |                                      |                               |                                     |                              |                                    |
| eGFR>90             | 35.0 (3,718)                  | 1.00 (ref)                           | 1.00 (ref)                    | 1.00 (ref)                          | 1.00 (ref)                   | 1.00 (ref)                         |
| eGFR 60-90          | 41.6 (4,415)                  | 1.08 (1.01–1.17)                     | 1.02 (0.94–1.10)              | 1.02(0.94 - 1.11)                   | 1.03 (0.95-1.12)             | 1.03 (0.95-1.12)                   |
| eGFR 30-60          | 20.1 (2,132)                  | 1.50 (1.36–1.65)                     | 1.37 (1.24–1.52)              | 1.33 (1.20–1.48)                    | 1.32 (1.18–1.46)             | 1.29 (1.16–1.44)                   |
| eGFR<30             | 3.3 (345)                     | 2.88 (2.33-3.57)                     | 2.57 (2.06-3.21)              | 2.51 (1.99-3.16)                    | 2.37 (1.87-2.99)             | 2.28 (1.80-2.89)                   |
| Ischemic stroke     |                               |                                      |                               |                                     |                              |                                    |
| eGFR>90             | 34.8 (2,881)                  | 1.00 (ref)                           | 1.00 (ref)                    | 1.00 (ref)                          | 1.00 (ref)                   | 1.00 (ref)                         |
| GFR 60-90           | 42.3 (3,501)                  | 1.07 (0.99-1.17)                     | 1.00 (0.92-1.10)              | 1.00 (0.92-1.10)                    | 1.00 (0.91-1.10)             | 1.00 (0.91-1.10)                   |
| eGFR 30-60          | 20.2 (1,670)                  | 1.50 (1.34-1.67)                     | 1.35 (1.20-1.51)              | 1.30 (1.15-1.47)                    | 1.28 (1.13-1.45)             | 1.25 (1.10-1.41)                   |
| eGFR<30             | 2.8 (228)                     | 2.45 (1.91-3.14)                     | 2.13 (1.64-2.75)              | 2.04 (1.56-2.68)                    | 1.94 (1.47-2.56)             | 1.81 (1.37-2.40)                   |
| Intracerebral hemor | rrhage                        |                                      |                               |                                     |                              |                                    |
| eGFR>90             | 35.9 (837)                    | 1.00 (ref)                           | 1.00 (ref)                    | 1.00 (ref)                          | 1.00 (ref)                   | 1.00 (ref)                         |
| eGFR 60-90          | 39.2 (914)                    | 1.12 (0.96-1.30)                     | 1.05 (0.90-1.23)              | 1.07 (0.91-1.26)                    | 1.11 (0.94-1.31)             | 1.11 (0.93-1.31)                   |
| eGFR 30-60          | 19.8 (462)                    | 1.50 (1.23-1.82)                     | 1.43 (1.17-1.74)              | 1.42 (1.15-1.74)                    | 1.48 (1.19-1.84)             | 1.49 (1.20-1.84)                   |
| eGFR<30             | 5.0 (117)                     | 4.44 (2.88-6.85)                     | 4.16 (2.68-6.45)              | 4.05 (2.60-6.31)                    | 4.05 (2.55-6.43)             | 4.12 (2.59–6.55)                   |
|                     |                               | _                                    |                               |                                     |                              |                                    |

Conditional logistic regression. CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BP, blood pressure.

Renal impairment was a stronger risk factor in younger populations, potentially reflective of more significant hypertension or renal pathology, and in lower income regions, where CKD and associated complications such as hypertension may be less likely to be recognized and treated. These observations suggest an important role of renal impairment as a potential target for reducing the burden of premature stroke. Our study includes populations from geographical regions poorly represented in previous studies and demonstrates significant regional variation in the association between renal impairment and stroke, particularly for ICH [9]. While we report a significant association of renal impairment with stroke in almost all regions, the magnitude of association differed, with largest odds in South Asia and Africa. This may reflect underrecognition of renal impairment or CKD in these regions or differences in the pathology causing renal impairment. Prior meta-analyses reported the largest magnitude of association in those of Asian ethnicity [9], but these may not have adequately adjusted for the modifying effect of hypertension [11].

The association between renal impairment and stroke outcome at 1 month is consistent with previous reports [31], as well as other reports with longer follow-up [32– 36]. In addition, we confirm previous reports of a doseresponse association between stroke and strata of eGFR [37, 38]. Mean eGFR was lower in those with more severe stroke at presentation and at 1 month post-stroke (online suppl. Table 3). Although other studies report that renal impairment is associated with poor outcomes in those who received thrombolysis [39, 40], we found no significant difference, although the proportion that received thrombolysis was low (7.8% of ischemic stroke).

Our study has a few limitations. First, as we used a case-control approach, it is possible that the measurement of eGFR could be affected by hemodynamic changes associated with acute stroke. A previous prospective cohort study of patients hospitalized with first stroke reported no association between the mean time delay to hospital admission and eGFR [41]. Consistent with this report, we found no difference in the age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of renal impairment when participants were stratified by the duration between symptom onset and the time of blood draw. In addition, as renal function is estimated using objective, laboratory methodologies and CKD-EPI eGFR was calculated centrally, there is less likelihood of differential assessment in cases and controls. Second, we had no information on renal function prior to stroke or measurement of proteinuria or albuminuria, and as such we cannot distinguish acute reductions in renal function from chronic kidney disease or from intraindividual fluctuations. However, previous studies report stronger associations between eGFR and stroke, rather than proteinuria [9–11]. Third, these analyses are observational and cannot establish a causative relationship or may be influenced by residual confounding. Fourth, it is

3/2021 1:43:18 AN

Renal Impairment and Stroke

possible that our findings may be affected by reverse causation, in which those presenting with acute stroke are more likely to have reduced eGFR. However, our finding that the prevalence of renal impairment was consistent when stratified by length of time from symptom onset to blood draw argues against this, where severity of illness may be more likely to increase renal impairment.

The main strength of our study is the large number of stroke cases and controls, including representation from all geographical regions. Although this is a case-control study of stroke precluding estimates of stroke prevalence, it does allow us to estimate prevalence of renal impairment. Second, all strokes underwent detailed assessment including radiological assessment and classification, facilitating exploration of the association between renal impairment and stroke types. This is particularly important as although CKD and CVD share many risk factors, our study shows the strongest association between renal impairment and ICH, where hypertension is a key risk factor. Third, the sampling approach included a combination of hospital- and community-based controls, increasing the generalizability of our findings. Fourth, our analytic approach, including a range of different approaches and subgroup analyses, yielded robust results.

#### Conclusion

In this large international study, we found a curvilinear association between reducing eGFR and increasing risk of all stroke, ischemic stroke, and ICH. We report higher odds for ICH than ischemic stroke and similar patterns of association by ischemic stroke subtype. This highlights that renal impairment is a potential risk factor for stroke, further enforcing the importance of management of hypertension and other risk factors (i.e., the cornerstone of CKD management). In addition, renal impairment may need to be considered in primary prevention strategies for stroke, particularly for anticoagulation strategies and considering the risk of ICH.

#### Acknowledgements

The INTERSTROKE study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, Canadian Stroke Network, Swedish Research Council, Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, and The Health & Medical Care Committee of the Regional Executive Board, Region Västra Götaland (Sweden), and through unrestricted grants from several pharmaceutical companies with major contributions from AstraZene-

214

ca, Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada), Pfizer (Canada), MSD, Chest Heart and Stroke Scotland, and the Stroke Association, with support from The UK Stroke Research Network. The Department of Neurology at the University Duisburg-Essen received research grants awarded from the German Research Council (DFG), German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), European Union, National Institutes of Health, Bertelsmann Foundation, and Heinz-Nixdorf Foundation.

### **Statement of Ethics**

The INTERSTROKE study was conducted in accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by local ethics committees at all recruitment sites, and all participants (or their proxies) provided written informed consent before inclusion in the study.

## **Conflict of Interest Statement**

G.J.H. reports personal fees from Bayer and Medscape, outside of this work. All other authors have no disclosures and declare no conflicts of interest.

## **Funding Sources**

Details on the funding are provided in the Acknowledgements section (specific grants/entities). The funders were not involved in the preparation of the data, analysis, or writing of the manuscript.

#### **Author Contributions**

M.O.D. and S.Y. designed the INTESTROKE study; S.R. and S.L.C. managed and operationally led the study; X.W., F.A.W., A.Y., A.E., A.D., A.A., A.C., A.R., A.L.D., A.O., C.M., C.W., D.R., D.X., F.L., G.M., G.J.H., H.K.I., H.Z., K.Y., N.P., P.L.J., P.L., R.D., and S.O. recruited patients into the study. A.S., C.J., G.P., M.C., and M.O.D. designed these analyses; A.S. analyzed the data, made the figures, and drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- Feigin VL, Mensah GA, Norrving B, Murray CJ, Roth GA; Group GBDSPE. Atlas of the global burden of stroke (1990–2013): The GBD 2013 study. Neuroepidemiology. 2015; 45(3):230–6.
- 2 Norrving B, Davis SM, Feigin VL, Mensah GA, Sacco RL, Varghese C. Stroke prevention worldwide: what could make it work? Neuro-epidemiology. 2015;45(3):215–20.
- 3 Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, Li Z, Naicker S, Plattner B, et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. Lancet. 2013 Jul 20;382(9888):260–72.

Smyth et al.

- 4 O'Donnell MJ, Chin SL, Rangarajan S, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, et al. Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (INTERSTROKE): a case-control study. Lancet. 2016 Aug 20;388(10046):761–75.
- 5 Weiner DE, Tighiouart H, Stark PC, Amin MG, MacLeod B, Griffith JL, et al. Kidney disease as a risk factor for recurrent cardiovascular disease and mortality. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004 Aug;44(2):198–206.
- 6 Dalrymple LS, Katz R, Kestenbaum B, Shlipak MG, Sarnak MJ, Stehman-Breen C, et al. Chronic kidney disease and the risk of endstage renal disease versus death. J Gen Intern Med. 2011 Apr;26(4):379–85.
- 7 Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics: 2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2013 Jan 1;127(1):e6–e245.
- 8 Brosius FC, Hostetter TH, Kelepouris E, Mitsnefes MM, Moe SM, Moore MA, et al. Detection of chronic kidney disease in patients with or at increased risk of cardiovascular disease: a science advisory from the American Heart Association Kidney and Cardiovascular Disease Council; the Councils on High Blood Pressure Research, Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and Epidemiology and Prevention; and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group: Developed in Collaboration With the National Kidney Foundation. Hypertension. 2006 Oct;48(4):751–5.
- 9 Lee M, Saver JL, Chang KH, Liao HW, Chang SC, Ovbiagele B. Low glomerular filtration rate and risk of stroke: meta-analysis. BMJ. 2010 Sept 30;341:c4249.
- 10 Masson P, Webster AC, Hong M, Turner R, Lindley RI, Craig JC. Chronic kidney disease and the risk of stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2015 Jul;30(7):1162–9.
- 11 Kelly DM, Rothwell PM. Does chronic kidney disease predict stroke risk independent of blood pressure?: a systematic review and metaregression. Stroke. 2019 Nov;50(11):3085–92.
- 12 O'Donnell M, Xavier D, Diener C, Sacco R, Lisheng L, Zhang H, et al. Rationale and design of INTERSTROKE: a global case-control study of risk factors for stroke. Neuroepidemiology. 2010;35(1):36–44.
- 13 Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, Mc-Cullough ML, Wang M, et al. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr. 2012 Jun;142(6):1009–18.
- 14 Dehghan M, Mente A, Teo KK, Gao P, Sleight P, Dagenais G, et al. Relationship between healthy diet and risk of cardiovascular disease among patients on drug therapies for secondary prevention: a prospective cohort study of 31 546 high-risk individuals from 40 countries. Circulation. 2012 Dec 4;126(23):2705–12.
- 15 Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009 May 5;150(9):604–12.

- 16 Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y, Levin A, Coresh J, Rossert J, et al. Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: a position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int. 2005 Jun;67(6):2089–100.
- 17 Orsini N, Greenland S. A procedure to tabulate and plot results after flexible modeling of a quantitative covariate. Stata J. 2011;11(1): 1–29.
- 18 Concato J, Peduzzi P, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards analysis. I. Background, goals, and general strategy. J Clin Epidemiol. 1995 Dec;48(12):1495–501.
- 19 Peduzzi P, Concato J, Feinstein AR, Holford TR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards regression analysis. II. Accuracy and precision of regression estimates. J Clin Epidemiol. 1995 Dec;48(12):1503–10.
- 20 Adams HP Jr, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in acute stroke treatment. Stroke. 1993 Jan;24(1):35–41.
- 21 Panerai RB. Assessment of cerebral pressure autoregulation in humans: a review of measurement methods. Physiol Meas. 1998 Aug; 19(3):305–38.
- 22 Davis MJ. Perspective: physiological role(s) of the vascular myogenic response. Microcirculation. 2012 Feb;19(2):99–114.
- 23 Carlstrom M, Wilcox CS, Arendshorst WJ. Renal autoregulation in health and disease. Physiol Rev. 2015 Apr;95(2):405–511.
- 24 O'Rourke MF, Safar ME. Relationship between aortic stiffening and microvascular disease in brain and kidney: cause and logic of therapy. Hypertension. 2005 Jul;46(1):200–4.
- 25 Thekkedath UR, Chirananthavat T, Leypoldt JK, Cheung AK, Mohammad SF. Elevated fibrinogen fragment levels in uremic plasma inhibit platelet function and expression of glycoprotein IIb-IIIa. Am J Hematol. 2006 Dec;81(12):915–26.
- 26 Ix JH, Mercado N, Shlipak MG, Lemos PA, Boersma E, Lindeboom W, et al. Association of chronic kidney disease with clinical outcomes after coronary revascularization: the Arterial Revascularization Therapies Study (ARTS). Am Heart J. 2005 Mar;149(3):512–9.
- 27 Lutz J, Menke J, Sollinger D, Schinzel H, Thürmel K. Haemostasis in chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014 Jan;29(1):29–40.
- 28 Molshatzki N, Orion D, Tsabari R, Schwammenthal Y, Merzeliak O, Toashi M, et al. Chronic kidney disease in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage: association with large hematoma volume and poor outcome. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2011;31(3):271–7.
- 29 Noris M, Remuzzi G. Uremic bleeding: closing the circle after 30 years of controversies? Blood. 1999 Oct 15;94(8):2569–74.
- 30 Widhi Nugroho A, Arima H, Miyazawa I, Fujii T, Miyamatsu N, Sugimoto Y, et al. The association between glomerular filtration rate

estimated on admission and acute stroke outcome: the shiga stroke registry. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2018 Jul 1;25(7):570–9.

- 31 Lasek-Bal A, Holecki M, Kret B, Hawrot-Kawecka A, Duława J. Evaluation of influence of chronic kidney disease and sodium disturbances on clinical course of acute and subacute stage first-ever ischemic stroke. Med Sci Monit. 2014 Aug 7;20:1389–94.
- 32 Yahalom G, Schwartz R, Schwammenthal Y, Merzeliak O, Toashi M, Orion D, et al. Chronic kidney disease and clinical outcome in patients with acute stroke. Stroke. 2009 Apr; 40(4):1296–303.
- 33 Putaala J, Haapaniemi E, Gordin D, Liebkind R, Groop PH, Kaste M, et al. Factors associated with impaired kidney function and its impact on long-term outcome in young ischemic stroke. Stroke. 2011 Sept;42(9):2459–64.
- 34 Wang X, Wang Y, Wang C, Zhao X, Xian Y, Wang D, et al. Association between estimated glomerular filtration rate and clinical outcomes in patients with acute ischaemic stroke: results from China National Stroke Registry. Age Ageing. 2014 Nov;43(6):839–45.
- 35 Yang J, Arima H, Zhou J, Zhao Y, Li Q, Wu G, et al. Effects of low estimated glomerular filtration rate on outcomes after stroke: a hospital-based stroke registry in China. Eur J Neurol. 2014 Aug;21(8):1143–5.
- 36 Tziomalos K, Georgaraki M, Bouziana SD, Spanou M, Kostaki S, Angelopoulou SM, et al. Impaired kidney function evaluated with the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation is associated with more severe acute ischemic stroke. Vasc Med. 2017 Oct;22(5):432–4.
- 37 Ovbiagele B. Impairment in glomerular filtration rate or glomerular filtration barrier and occurrence of stroke. Arch Neurol. 2008 Jul; 65(7):934–8.
- 38 Wang IK, Lien LM, Lee JT, Liu CH, Chen CH, Lin CH, et al. Renal dysfunction increases the risk of recurrent stroke in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Atherosclerosis. 2018 Oct; 277:15–20.
- 39 Naganuma M, Koga M, Shiokawa Y, Nakagawara J, Furui E, Kimura K, et al. Reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate is associated with stroke outcome after intravenous rt-PA: the Stroke Acute Management with Urgent Risk-Factor Assessment and Improvement (SAMURAI) rt-PA registry. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2011;31(2):123–9.
- 40 Carr SJ, Wang X, Olavarria VV, Lavados PM, Rodriguez JA, Kim JS, et al. Influence of renal impairment on outcome for thrombolysistreated acute ischemic stroke: ENCHANTED (Enhanced Control of Hypertension and Thrombolysis Stroke Study) post hoc analysis. Stroke. 2017 Sept;48(9):2605–9.
- 41 Tsagalis G, Akrivos T, Alevizaki M, Manios E, Stamatellopoulos K, Laggouranis A, et al. Renal dysfunction in acute stroke: an independent predictor of long-term all combined vascular events and overall mortality. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009 Jan;24(1):194–200.

3lasgow Univ.Lib. 130.209.6.61 - 8/19/2021 1:43:18 AM